



## Final Appeal Decision Dismissal

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals  
 Pennsylvania Department of Corrections  
 1920 Technology Parkway  
 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

03/06/2020 11:09

Inmate Name:	ZAMICHEL, LAMONT	DOC #:	LW2870
SCI Filed:	Camp Hill	Current SCI:	Camp Hill
Grievance #:	823045		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to final review for the grievance identified above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Policy, this Office has reviewed all documents provided as part of the grievance record. Upon consideration of the entire record, it is the decision of this office to dismiss your appeal to final review due to a failure to comply with the provisions of the DC-ADM 804, as specified below.

### Decision: Dismiss

Your grievance is being dismissed at the final appeal level for the reason(s) outlined below.

### Rationale:

- The grievance or appeal was not submitted within fifteen (15) working days after the events upon which claims are based.
- You have not provided this Office with required and/or legible documentation for proper review.

### Response:

Your appeal to final review needed to be postmarked by 12/05/19; however, it was not postmarked until 02/03/20. While you indicate that you sent an appeal to this office in December, this office has no record of ever receiving that appeal. Further, you have not provided the required documentation for a proper appeal to final review. Specifically, you have not provided a copy of the first initial review response that you received. Therefore, your appeal to this office is dismissed.

Signature:	<i>Keri Moore for</i>
Name:	D. Varner
Title:	Chief Grievance Officer
Date:	03/06/20

cc: DC-15/Superintendent - Camp Hill  
 Grievance Office

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-G

LW2870 Grievance #: 823045

ZAMICHEL, LAMONT

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016



DC-804  
Part 1COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA  
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONSFOR OFFICIAL USE  
823045  
GRIEVANCE NUMBER

## OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE

TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR

FACILITY:

DATE: 10/10/19  
Secretary's Office  
Inmate Grievances & Appeals

FROM: (INMATE NAME &amp; NUMBER)

SIGNATURE OF INMATE:

WORK ASSIGNMENT:

HOUSING ASSIGNMENT:

## INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the inmate grievance system. Because they plan to deny me further treatment and remove my D code activities.
2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner.
3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to resolve this matter. Be sure to include the identity of staff members you have contacted.

A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grievance. Additional paper may be used, maximum two pages (one DC-804 form and one one-sided 8 1/2" x 11" page). State all relief that you are seeking.

I was told by staff of PA DC SCI-Camphill that I'm also not being offered DTU program. I'm being denied access to all out of cell activities/programs, social activities in the DTU, Policy 13.8.1 Section 14 states that each DTU inmate will be offered at least 10 hours structured out of cell activities and 10 unstructured hours out of cell totaling 20 hours at least weekly. In AD Roster D code inmate with seriously mentally ill diagnosed with Schizophrenia depressive type Anti social personality disorder, sociopathy, Depression Bipolar, ADHD, PTSD etc qualified under the Americans with Disabilities Act. Upon returning from POC/SON on 8/27/19 back to DTU, I haven't been out of cell at all. No groups, no showers, no yard, no individual out of cell contact with psychologist although I sought these activities programs services on the daily basis from 8/27/19 to 9/3/19. I haven't been out of cell at all and this will continue to happen until I continue to be denied if not corrected ASAP. No group, activities treatment provider group facilitators came to my cell offering me out of cell. No employee what so ever at this time all facilitators are responsible for my loss. I'm being denied because of my disabilities, being discriminated against and retaliated against because of my mental health disabilities and symptoms. This violates the 8th amendment and punishment deliberate indifference, ADA title II, 14 CFR 104.33(a)(2)(i) Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. According to 13.8.1 section 14 DTU inmates will be offered out of cell groups the same day they are housed in the DTU. The policy does state that inmates must be cleared by a psychologist, psychiatrist, or POC to be offered groups. No does it B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, before submitting this grievance. States you must have a misconduct hearing before offered groups and it doesn't state that inmates who are housed in camera cells on watch or if you have blue clothing DTU on, that you can't attend until out of camera cell. I was housed in camera cell supposedly as staff say "serious suicide attempt" which is a lie in POC and son for two weeks. I'm likely to deteriorate and be depressed if housed in cell 24 hours 7 days a week with no offered showers yard exercise outdoor basic life necessities. I can name a few other inmates who returned from POC, SON, MTH, who was returned to DTU, housed in regular cell or camera cell, staff still stop your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804. at cells to offer cell

Signature of Facility Grievance Coordinator

Date

WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy  
GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy

CANARY File Copy

PINK Action Return Copy

Relief: \$5000 cash compensation

Relief: To be offered programs

according to 13.8.1 section 14

DTU section - All facilitators

to approach me with a

sincere apology and deny

groups for two weeks plus

retaliation

Relief: Group Facilitators Be Be

Lectured continuously

Hangup if on Door-Regulator  
I often now have suicide  
thoughts plans because  
don't feel like a human  
being being denied group  
But in single cell  
of the P.O.C placement  
with grievances on discipli  
accommodation issues.  
I also was told by  
multiple employees  
officers that  
Unit manager - D.L.L.

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual  
Section 1 - Grievances & Initial ReviewIssued: 1/26/2016  
Effective: 2/16/2016

Relief: Immediate Release

Attachment 1-A



DC-804

Part 1

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA  
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

FOR OFFICIAL USE

GRIEVANCE NUMBER

## OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE

TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR

Laurel Harry Facility Manager

FACILITY:

SCI-Camp Hill

DATE:

9/17/19.

FROM: (INMATE NAME &amp; NUMBER)

Lamont Zanchioli #LW2870

SIGNATURE OF INMATE:

HOUSING ASSIGNMENT:

E Block A Pod Cell A1-15

WORK ASSIGNMENT:

N/A

INSTRUCTIONS: I also submitted another grievance dated 9/1/19, 9/11/19 on this issue. Relief: 395 day Detention inmed  
 1. Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the inmate grievance system. Release to the GPRTY and or inmed  
 2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner. Relief: As requested in original grievance  
 3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to resolve this matter. Be sure to include the identity of available  
 staff members you have contacted. Policy 13.8.1 section 14 states inmates are offered groups on same day house

A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grievance. Additional paper may be used, maximum two (2) pages (one DC-804 form and one one-sided 8 1/2" x 11" page). State all relief that you are seeking.  
 Appeal # 823045 to Facility Manager as the "Rejection" of my grievance by Tanya Heist is a "Frivolous Rejection" to Attempt to prevent me from getting my Remedies exhausted as Relief. She had no reason to reject such grievance stating the grievance Based upon different events must be present separately! Please Read my original grievance. The events are the same as in Policy 13.8.1 section 14 DTU Section as I stated in being denied Access to out of cell activities programs services out of Retaliation of showing symptoms of my mental health disability Prior POC/San placements as grievances raising such ADA issues as the employees at SCI-Camp Hill discriminating against me as a fail to accommodate my disability as the DTU Policy 13.8.1 section 14 states they must offer me 20 hours of out of cell activities programs such as groups, showers, and outdoor exercise a week. structured and unstructured hours as I am a "D" has worked on the PA Doc mental health roster as severely mentally ill with serious diagnoses and easily determine in the DTU and attempt suicide as have thoughts/plan if denied Access to these Services Accommodations, as told by unit team staff as POC that they are denying me groups in attempt to take away my "D" code out of Retaliation for grievances, complaints as previous POC placements. Also told that I am not allowed to go to groups out of cell until approved by Psychologist Psychiatrist and moved from camera cell. I been in some sort of camera cell whether the DTU, POC, son for the past month or so because continue of suicide plans thoughts behavior consistent with my mental health disabilities, as makes me more hopeless depressed suicide if not allowed to

B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, before submitting this grievance of my cell to other DTU programs. When I am housed in a Regular DTU cell or cell without a camera, I plan to commit suicide by hanging myself from the Bars/Gate of a Regular cell since the camera cell has flat windows and no Bars gates on door or place to hang myself else from the Double Bunks in DTU cells I was not offered any DTU groups programs by the facilitators from 8/27/19 to 9/3/19, which also resulted suicide attempt and Placed Back in POC from 9/4/19 to 9/9/19. I returned from POC on 9/9/19

Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804.

Signature of Facility Grievance Coordinator

Date when I am in camera cell or not, I shall be offered programs as I am with others as should

WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy

CANARY File Copy

PINK Action Return Copy

GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy

and now housed in camera cell A1-15 and has been denied Access to groups 13.8.1 section 14. No group, unstructured came to cell to offer groups. without asking me if I want group, out of cell who will know if I will accept and come out??? This violates DOC Policy 13.8.1 section 14, The agreement settle

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual  
Section 1 - Grievances & Initial ReviewIssued: 1/26/2016  
Effective: 2/16/2016

I submitted grievances dated 9/1/19 and 9/11/19 on this issue as well as

Attachment 1-A  
Betment Disability Rights Network v. John B  
Violates ADA title II of "Rehabilitation Act"



## Remanded Initial Review Response

SCI Camp Hill  
2500 Lisburn Rd  
Camp Hill, PA, 17001-8837

11/04/2019 11:47

Inmate Name:	ZAMICHEL, LAMONT	DOC #:	LW2870
Facility:	Camp Hill	Unit Location:	E / A
Grievance #:	823045		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your grievance to the assigned Grievance Officer. The response is as follows:

**Decision: Grievance Denied**

It is the decision of this grievance officer to uphold, deny or uphold in part/deny in part the inmate's initial grievance. This response will include a brief rationale, summarize the conclusion, any action taken to resolve the issue(s) raised in the grievance and, relief sought.

**Response:**

In accordance with DC-ADM 804 - Inmate Grievance System, this writer has reviewed and investigated the concerns and issues you have brought forth in your grievance dated 9/3/2019 = 823045. It shall be noted for the record in this response that an extension was granted via the Facility Grievance Officer forthwith.

Upon review of your grievance, you allege that you are being denied both structured and unstructured out of cell (OOC) activities while being housed in the DTU on E Block, and more specifically, while being housed in a camera cell. You also allege/claim that staff has not made an effort at your cell front to offer the activities. Furthermore, you allege this is a retaliatory action(s) with a direct correlation to a PREA concern you have lodged against Unit Manager Ritchey. You continue to allege further retaliation by staff in an attempt to remove your D Stability code. In writing, you also claim discrimination, violations of the 13.8.1, violations of the 8th amendment, deliberate indifference, cruel and unusual punishment, violations of the DRN settlement and violations of the AOA title V. You claim to have multiple diagnosis to support your D stability rating and go onto to articulate a plan to hang yourself when removed from a camera cell at any time when housed in the DTU in a regular cell. For relief you are seeking an immediate cut of your disciplinary custody (DC) time and subsequent release to general population (GP), to maintain your D-Code, be offered OOC activities, DTU group facilitators to be lectured, an apology to yourself. You are requesting \$50,000.00 in compensation for these perceived injustices.

This writer has investigated your allegations and submits the following :

(1) You have not provided any substantiated evidence to support your allegations. (2) I have reviewed both physical and electronic records and observed that multiple facility departments and a plethora of job classifications all denote a striking similar pattern. (3) That pattern being - your behavior(s) are premeditated and clearly present an attempt at secondary gain. (4) Your behavior(s) and documented actions clearly substantiate staff's judgement and documentation thereof that you are belligerently refusing to participate in OOC activities yourself - not through the decision(s) of the staff involved in any treatment. (5) Records indicate that you personally, and the program as an entirety, are offered over and above the required programming in both structured and unstructured formats. I have uncovered no evidence of staff retaliation, wrongdoing and/or the unjustly refusal to offer you programming as you allege. It is evident that strong pattern of maladaptive behaviors is existent and/or veiled threats by you to try and manipulate staff for secondary gain. What this writer finds paradoxical is that you have documented this against yourself by proxy in this filing. Additionally, this writer posits no evidence of any process and/or review to remove your D-Stability code. However, as an investigator assigned, I cannot make a determination as requested to either maintain or remove a stability code. Stability codes are assigned and or removed by qualified psychology and psychiatry staff through another process other than the DC-ADM 804. The unit you are housed on is audited by multiple internal entities and outside agencies. All of the audits and reviews indicate a unit/program that is operated well above the required parameters. Lastly, I find this grievance to be frivolous in nature as no evidence has been presented by you to support your

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 1 - Grievances & Initial Review, Attachment 1-D

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

LW2870 Grievance #: 823045

ZAMICHEL, LAMONT



### Remanded Initial Review Response

SCI Camp Hill  
2500 Lisburn Rd  
Camp Hill, PA, 17001-8837

11/04/2019 11:47

allegations and evidence observed/uncovered by this writer directly contradicts your allegations and substantiates a veritable exact opposite of what you are alleging. There is no evidence of retaliation, discrimination, violations of constitutional rights, established procedure, policy or laws. Any and all relief is hereby denied at this level; which includes stability code assignment or maintenance, compensation in the form of \$50,000.00, release from the DTU and/or DC time cut, facilitator lectures and an apology to your person. END\*

☒ **Frivolous:**

A lengthy diatribe which is nothing more than an attempt at manipulation and the besmirching of staff who are trying to treat the maladaptive behavior(s) presented.

Signature:

Name:

Title:

Approver:

Date:

CC: Facility Grievance Coordinator  
DC-15

*E. Stracco* 11/4/19  
E. Stracco

*T. Heist*  
T. Heist

11/4/19





Pg 2 of 2 appeal grievance #823045 to Facility manager 11/5/19

DC-141

PART 2 C

Rev. 2-02

HEARING SUPPLEMENT

INMATE VERSION AND WITNESS STATEMENTS

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

DC Number

Name

LW2870

Zamicheli, Lamont Zamicheli

CTM

No. from PART I

INMATE'S VERSION

# grievance #823045 pg 2 of 2

It has now been about 90 days (3 months) since the last time I was allowed or attend group DTU for seriously mentally ill inmates. Since 8/14/19 last date at group and the last time I was in the shower and on YANA exercise which are both human life's basic necessities and programs services activities under the ADA Americans with disability act title II, which I am a qualified seriously mentally ill diagnosed disability inmate who sought to participate but denied by staff who falsified documents violating the code of ethics of PA DOC, staff falsely documented that I refused what they denied me by not offering me out of cell activities because I'm in a camera cell. - also take a look at the DTU, you will see that cell #1-12 has a big red magnet sign on top of their doors that say "DTU", which is to remind and notify group facilitators that these inmates are DTU and allowed to attend group. However cell #13-16 has no big red magnet stating DTU on top of the door, thereby group facilitators don't stop at camera cells to ask inmates if they want group because there are "DTU" tag on top to notify group facilitators. - also because the camera cells are known to house only RTHU inmates, which is on the DTU camera cells, therefore the facilitators know not to ask these inmates in camera cells if wanted to attend group. Because these inmates are not "DTU" - and by me being in camera cell, I want get attend group as the unit team unit manager created policy that is not DOC policy also violates 13.8.1 section 14 DTU because RTHU inmates are now currently housed on the DTU top tier A pod of E Block cell A-24, A-30, A-31, etc. - which are RTHU inmates and the policy prohibits housing RTHU also & raised this issue in separate grievances as 10/21/19 which was maliciously sabotaged by Heist also raised again on 10/31/19 is separate grievance. - I filed 3 separate grievances on 11/5/19 different issues, suicide attempt caused by COTI 2. inmate from house - I will continue to be my suicide watch, grievance #2 about lack of camera coverage and camera cells on Pod A E Block, and grievance #3 about how RTHU has RTHU inmates housed on DTU same Pod unit as seriously mentally ill inmates. Also note the Law Library is on the DTU A pod of E Block which is only for DTU inmates to use, not RTHU. Because on D pod of E Block RTHU inmates has two Law Libraries to use. For the past 3 months or so, I been denied access to Law Library because each day there is a RTHU inmate inside there using it. - I only been in Law Library once in past 3 months although I sought to use it to access court research case law for civil criminal cases pending but was denied access except one time but no one time. - I will continue to be my suicide watch.

Staff must allow my disability cause. Staff/Bar pose a safety risk forced as illegal practice

not allowed to attend group / Law Library because staff know I'll hang myself and B gets outside of group modules on Law Library

my ADA grievance my Doc placement has no relationship to my grievance



# Facility Manager's Appeal Response

SCI Camp Hill  
2500 Lisburn Rd  
Camp Hill, PA, 17001-8837

11/12/2019 11:07

Inmate Name:	ZAMICHEL, LAMONT	DOC #:	LW2870
Facility:	Camp Hill	Unit Location:	P/A
Grievance #:	823045		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your grievance appeal to the Facility Manager for the grievance noted above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, "Inmate Grievance System Policy", the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to me and any other documents submitted.

## Decision: Uphold Response

It is the decision of this Facility Manager to uphold the initial response, uphold the inmate, dismiss or uphold in part/Deny in part. This response will include a brief rationale, summarizing the conclusion, any action taken to resolve the issue(s) raised in the grievance and your appeal and relief sought.

## Response:

I am in receipt of your appeal and have reviewed the original grievance as well as the Grievance Officer's response. In your appeal, you claim SCI Camp Hill violates the rights of seriously mentally ill inmates "and accuse them and me of manipulating for secondary gain." You allege staff do not take mentally ill inmates seriously. You go on to allege "staff discriminate or deny inmates have psychological/psychotic disabilities that they show symptoms of." In your lengthy appeal you further claim staff take kickbacks and embezzle government funds. You believe your rights have been violated. You list several other complaints, however your penmanship is illegible. In relief you request the same relief requested as in the initial grievance.

I find the Grievance Officer properly investigated your grievance concerns and provided an appropriate response. I find no evidence to support your allegations above. Records indicate you are being offered both psychological and psychiatric services by qualified personal on a regular basis. You are also being offered OOC as dictates per policy. No evidence of wrongdoing has been identified.

Therefore, I uphold the initial response and your appeal and any requested relief is denied.

## ☒ Frivolous:

The allegations made are not based on any facts and are completely baseless.

## Signature:

Name:

Title:

Date:

Facility Manager

11/12/19

cc: DC-15  
File

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-B

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

LW2870 Grievance #: 823045

ZAMICHEL, LAMONT



ATTN: FINAL Review (grievance # 823045)

This serves as my updated appeal # 823045, please include this with the appeal I sent to final review dated 12/6/19, for no decision was yet made. I feel its necessary for me to submit an updated appeal because I just received for the first time and reviewed the facility manager's response that I never received on or around the date it was due to never receiving it in any timely manner, I was unable to properly oppose or appeal it without actually seeing the response, therefore I am asking the office to extend my time to appeal and consider this appeal dated 1/31/2020 along with one dated 12/6/19. I was in POC from 11/14/19 to 11/22/19 and from 11/22/19 to 12/6/19 I was on Psych restriction and DTU and not allowed any papers, pen or my property. Nor did I receive any mail specifically not a response for # 823045. Now looking at response dated 11/12/19, I never once received until now I submitted request slip cash slip to Hist requesting it in ~~11/12/19~~ JAN-20, 2020. I appealed on 12/6/19 without seeing response. All DOC staff fail to accommodate my mental health disabilities and offer me services. Appeal # 823045 to Final Review. I appeal the denial of grievance relief and mark frivolous. PA Doc John Wetzel, CCPM Sophia K. Kaufman, George Daniels, CCPM Corey Recinos, etc. Yes, staff at SCI-Camphill don't take mentally ill inmates seriously and accuse (SMT) inmates of manipulating for secondary gain. First off, staff discriminated against me and denied me access to structure and structured out of cell time based on my serious mental health illness disabilities such as Schizoaffective disorder Depressive type Anti Social Personality disorder. Staff don't follow the B.S. 8.1 section 14 DTU section 5.0.3, I'm not to date 1/31/2020 being offered 10 structured out of cell time activity program facilitated by psychologist, counselors treatment specialist, etc. also (DRA V. JOHN WETZEL) Settlement agreement/General Release civil # 1:13-cv-00000 Disability Rights Network of PA sued Wetzel PA DOC on behalf of all SMT inmates incarcerated in PA DOC specifically in Isolation Rth setting See Pg 10 of 36 of the agreement under "Definitions FF: "Structured out of cell time and or structured activity" - CS outlined in section, it states "Programming Required for Parole" is structured activities. however PA DOC at least I thought I participated in completed programs army DC43 in correctional plan programs required for Parole such as Sex offender treatment program mod-high intensity of thinking for a change while incarcerated with PA DOC ?

without participating in given chance opportunity to participate and receive treatment in a timely manner without being denied of (deleted) of the DTU. I will be denied of my right to earn credit for my participation in DC43 programs and DTU because I was not allowed to participate in the DTU.

DOC Staff at SCI-Campbell and Huntsville has violated the Settlement Agreement and Causee Wetzel to Breach his Contract with disability Rights Attorney of PA. Also violates my 8th amendment cruel unusual Punishment, denial of mental health care/ deliberate indifference to mental health care needs delay of care also 14th amendment due process Liberty denied. Life free from Mental Emotionally Psychological, Physical restraint and Abuse Depriving me of Possible Parole eligibility. The DOC staff accepts Federal funds to operate as a mental health facility and comply with ADA title II "v" as III also Rehabilitation Act Section 504. They don't use the funds as SMI inmates. Staff accept kickbacks and embezzle the governments funds. My ICAR, DC17x and (DC43) correctional Plan is proof and evidence as it may reflect that I haven't yet participated in, enrolled or completed "Thinking for a Change" and "Sex offender treatment Program Mod-High Intensity".

Wetzel, Kaufman, Spitzer, Pritchard, Corman, George Clements, Van-Ruley, Cawker Fells, TNSW Delikat, Psi Gross, Corman-Caplan, etc. all responsible parties

I've been housed in DTY setting Based on Security Needs Since 2/24/17 (3 consecutive years) I participated in numerous of after cell structured Activity Programs but however, I was Denied of "Credit" towards my DC 43 programs or correctional Plan. Although I participated in and believe that I completed. Therefore if records reflect that I didn't participate yet or complete while in the DTY then I was denied Access as I state Proof in grievance and such records substantiate my claim. For the 8 months at SCI-Campbell although I sought credit for participation in DC 43 programs while housed in the DTY serving DC status. All PRC/PRT unit from staff are responsible for the denial of me credit, denial of me the programs and delay me of this recommended treatment as listed in Facility manager response. He state that Records indicate I am being offered and accepted Both Psychological and Psychiatric services qualified by qualified personnel on a Record Basis as being offered accepted. Court of cell is Policy and settlement agreement with DOW. In fact my DC43 shall reflect that I participated and completed the programs for Parole as structured and completed as outlined.

2020


**Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals**

Pennsylvania Department of Corrections

1920 Technology Parkway

Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

This serves to acknowledge receipt of information associated with your intent to appeal a grievance (identified below, if available) to final review, to communicate your concern(s) to the Secretary's Office of Grievances and Appeals, and/or to check the status of review related to your matter.

<b>Inmate Name:</b>	LAMONT ZAMICIELI	<b>Inmate Number:</b>	LW2870
<b>SCI Filed at:</b>	CAM	<b>Current SCI:</b>	CAM
<b>Grievance #.(if available):</b>	823045, 829443, 831712, 831716, 832782, 833719, 834269, 835888		
	a) You have already received final disposition/review on this issue through this Office.		
X	b) This Office has no prior record of receipt of an appeal from you regarding this issue.		
	c) You have already filed a grievance to seek review and resolution of this matter.		
	d) You are encouraged to work through institutional channels to resolve your complaint initially. If unable to resolve your complaint informally, be advised that the DC-ADM 804 provides a mechanism for all inmates to seek formal resolution for concerns.		
	e) You failed to provide the official grievance number for identification purposes.		
	f) Your claim to have grieved or appealed this concern at the institutional level without response does not entitle you to direct appeal to final review. Rather, contact the Grievance Coordinator or Facility Manager's office regarding the status of your grievance or appeal.		
X	g) You have not yet appealed this issue to the Facility Manager. Final review will not be granted until you do so. Upon receiving a response from the Facility Manager at the respective facility, you may once again submit a timely written appeal to this Office for final review. Be sure that your appeal to this office includes <b>all</b> the necessary documents as outlined in DC ADM 804. If not <b>all</b> documents are received with your appeal, it may be dismissed. This response does not grant you a right to an appeal if it would otherwise have been untimely to pursue that appeal to the Superintendent.		
X	h) Your grievance and/or correspondence is being filed without further action for the reason(s) specified in the Comments/Action Taken section below.		
	i) The following action has been taken in response to the inquiry, request, or concern communicated in your letter.		
<b>Comments/Action Taken:</b>			
This office is in receipt of your correspondence wherein you inquire the status of your appeals to final review for the above referenced grievances, which you claim to have sent in December 2019. Additionally, you indicate that you sent two misconduct appeals.			
First, be advised that this office has no involvement in the misconduct appeal process. You are encouraged to contact the Chief Hearing Examiner's Office with any misconduct related questions.			
Regarding the above referenced grievances, this office has no record of receiving appeals and/or correspondence from you related to those grievances. Additionally, records reflect that appeals to the Facility Manager have not been received for grievances 831716, 833719, 834269 and 835888. Final review will not be granted until you do so. Lastly, your request for extensions is denied.			
You are encouraged to review the DC ADM 804 in its entirety to familiarize yourself with the proper submission procedures.			
<b>Signature:</b>	Amanda West 	<b>Title:</b>	Grievance Review Officer
<b>Date:</b>	02.13.2020		

AMW

cc: DC-15/Superintendent – CAM  
Grievance Office



Pg 1 of 2 *TURN page*TO: MS. DORINA L. VARNER (Chief Grievance *Conduct*  
Secretary's Office  
Inmate Grievances & Appeals

From: Lamont Zamicheli # LW2870 SCI-Camp Hill

Date: 1/20/2020 *Appeal # 831964*JAN 30 2020 1:00 PM  
Hopefully this letter I'm sending *ad appeals*  
make it to you without Camp Hill Security  
taking my documents opening it *ad Net mail*  
It -- There's been multiple issues of this problemDear Ms. Varner: *is enclosed with this letter divided in 2 envelopes!!! See 2nd envelope A...*

Hello, how are you doing? Fine I hope! I'm writing you in regards of a few issues concerning my Grievance Appeals Submissions I sent in November, December of 2019 and January 2020. However I appealed these to Final Review and it's been months and I haven't received any responses yet could you please inform me of the status of the following grievance appeals. Thanks!

- ① Grievance # 823045 ... I appealed to Final Review without a response on or around 12/6/19, I never received facility manager's response that was due, I contacted Superintendent and Grievance Coordinator about response, my request was ignored... so I assumed it was denied & I appealed to Final Review explaining the situation as I was in POC from Nov 14 to Nov 22, 2019, and when I returned to DCU I was on stopped cell status as physical restrictions until 12/6/19, I wasn't allowed anything in my cell until then, so when I received mail that was held, no grievance response for # 823045 was within. I explained this to central office in a letter I sent you around 12/6/19 with the appeal also telling you that security at SCI-Camp Hill withheld, sabotage open destroy my incoming/outgoing mail, sensor it to stop me from informing ~~seems~~ Final Review central office, cameras and security from knowing how unfair it's like here at SCI-Camp Hill is treating me!! I ignored

- 32082  
 \* (2) grievance # 829443 ; I mailed appeal to final review on  
 around 12/6/19 as well, with all documents plus facility manager  
 response dated 11/18/19. If needed, I'll submit them again if  
 only you haven't received all the appeals as  
 long as you GRANT extension to resubmit  
 them to you as I appealed all  
 I once already did grievances  
 in timely manner in December 2019  
 in Dec 2019. I feel that December 6, 2019  
 if you received them  
 you should have been responded! etc.  
 If you need more time to respond to the above grievance appeals let me know... If you  
 didn't receive them let me know grant  
 extension
- \* (3) grievance # 831712  
 \* (4) grievance # 833719  
 \* (5) 832782 grievance # 832782  
 \* (6) grievance # 834269  
 \* (7) # 835888  
 \* (8) ~~# 831716~~ # 831716, 831719.
- I appealed all of the above listed grievances  
 to final review in timely manners, what is the status  
 of them all... I believe that central office final review  
 had 30 working days to respond. I also sent my conduct appeal  
 MCH# D3747111 / MC# D373768